UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP and HOGUET NEWMAN REGAL & KENNEY, LLP,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

DISCOVERY PLAN 07 Civ. 9391 (WHP) **ECF** Case

MARC A. BRUNER, CARMEN LOTITO, KELLY H. NELSON, RESOURCE VENTURE MANAGEMENT AG, EQUISTAR CAPITAL, LLC. BCI INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS, INC., BIO-COMPOSITES INTERNATIONAL, INC., and BIOFIBRE TECHNOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

D	efen	dants.	

The parties by and through their respective attorneys, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), respectfully submit the following discovery plan.

A. TIMING, FORM AND REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCLOSURES **PURSUANT TO 26(a)(1):**

The parties will make their initial disclosures within 14 days of the Rule 26(f) conference with the Court. The form of the disclosures will be consistent with Rule 26(a)(1).

If expert witnesses are needed by one or more of the parties, all disclosures of experts and rebuttal experts will be completed as required by Rule 26(a)(2)(C). The parties do not anticipate designating expert witnesses at this time.

No changes to the form of disclosures or requirement of disclosure are requested.

B. **DISCOVERY SUBJECTS AND LIMITS:**

The subjects on which discovery may be needed in this action are:

1. The nature and form of the agreements between Plaintiffs and Defendants that are cited in the Complaint and the obligations thereunder;

- 2. Defendants treatment of the "Escrow," as that term is defined in the agreement attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 1.
- 3. Plaintiffs allegations of fraud in the negotiation and execution of the agreement attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 1.
- 4. Defendant Carmen Lotito's treatment of residences he owns or owned in Utah in or about May 2007.
- 5. The individual Defendants' relationship to Defendant BioFibre Technology International, Inc.
- 6. The relationship and interactions between Defendants and Patton Boggs LLP in and around the time the agreement attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 1 was made and in or about the time Defendants' settlement agreement in the underlying Hughes Case was negotiated and agreed upon.

The parties do not agree about the time required for discovery. Plaintiffs believe that three months is adequate for discovery. Defendants believe that eight months is adequate for discovery.

C. **ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION:**

The following electronically stored information ("ESI") that may be disclosed or produced in discovery in this action includes:

Email communications between Defendants and Plaintiffs on the issues relevant to this case; and

Email communications between and amongst the Defendants and/or relevant Third Parties on the issues relevant to this case; and

Written documents generated or exchanged between the parties on the issues relevant to this case that are maintained in electronic form; and

Written documents between and amongst the Defendants and/or Third Parties on the issues relevant to this case that are maintained in electronic form;

Accounting information maintained in electronic form to the extent that it cannot adequately be produced in hard copy form.

This information will be produced in pdf. format if possible. If not possible, the information will be produced in native format.

D. **CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE OR PROTECTION:**

The parties do not know of issues of privilege or protection that need to be addressed at this time.

E. **CHANGES TO LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY:**

The parties do not believe that any changes need to be made to the default discovery limits in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

F. **OTHER ORDERS:**

At this time, the parties do not request or currently anticipate requesting other orders under Rule 26(c) or Rule 16(b) and (c).

DATED:

New York, New York January 18, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Bryan L. Berson (BB3271)

PATTON BOGGS, LLP

1675 Broadway

New York, NY 10019

Attorneys for Defendants

J. Richard Supple Jr. (JS 3549)

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LLP

780 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017

Attorneys for Plaintiff Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP

Written documents between and amongst the Defendants and/or Third Parties on the issues relevant to this case that are maintained in electronic form;

Accounting information maintained in electronic form to the extent that it cannot adequately be produced in hard copy form.

This information will be produced in pdf. format if possible. If not possible, the information will be produced in native format.

D. **CLAIMS OF PRIVILEGE OR PROTECTION:**

The parties do not know of issues of privilege or protection that need to be addressed at this time.

E. **CHANGES TO LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY:**

The parties do not believe that any changes need to be made to the default discovery limits in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

F. OTHER ORDERS:

At this time, the parties do not request or currently anticipate requesting other orders under Rule 26(c) or Rule 16(b) and (c).

DATED:

New York, New York January 18, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Bryan L. Berson (BB3271)

PATTON BOGGS, LLP

1675 Broadway

New York, NY 10019

Attorneys for Defendants

J. Richard Supple Jr. (JS 35

HINSHAW & CULBERTSON LI

780 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10017

Attorneys for Plaintiff Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP

268391

Frederic S. Newman (FN 3174)

HOGUET NEWMAN REGAL & KENNEY, LLP

10 East 40th Street

New York, NY 10016

Attorneys for Plaintiff Hoguet Newman Regal &

Kenney, LLP